

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
08/19/2003	Francis W. Sullivan	827B	6311
12/27/2004		EXAM	INER
n, Attorney		MARCANTO	NI, PAUL D
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
30		1755	
	08/19/2003 12/27/2004 1, Attorney	08/19/2003 Francis W. Sullivan  12/27/2004  1, Attorney	08/19/2003 Francis W. Sullivan 827B  12/27/2004 EXAM  1, Attorney MARCANTO  ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 12/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
_	10/643,854	SULLIVAN, FRANCIS W.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Paul Marcantoni	1755			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05	5 October 2004.				
•—					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C.[	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 31-34 is/are withd 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a					
Applicant may not request that any objection to t					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line in the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line in the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line in the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line in the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line in the papplication for a line in the p	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have beer eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Application/Control Number: 10/643,854

Art Unit: 1755

Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-30 in the reply filed on 10/5/04 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a and b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Ramme et al. '336 B1, Dingsoyr '060, Garrett '802 B1, and Sato et al. (JP 06157115-abstract only).

All of the above cited references teach a cementitious composition comprising the same components as claimed by applicants in overlapping amounts thus anticipating the instant invention. Even if not anticipated, overlapping ranges of amounts would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,854

Art Unit: 1755

Also, the use of a dry blend versus adding water to a cement mixture would have been an obvious design choice for one of ordinary skill in the art. It would have been obvious to package dry mix prior to adding water because it is understood that water will activate the cement setting.

It is also old in the art to add conventional additives such as superplasticizers because it is commonly used in cement.

Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as failing to set forth the subject matter which applicant(s) regard as their invention.

The terms "predetermined" are indefinite in claim 1, 2, and any other claim this term is used.

Claim 1-30 are indefinite because the independent claims are vague. The applicants claim a component selected from fly ash or silica fume yet the claim itself teaches specific amounts of each component as if it is a required component of the claim.

The terms "packaged dry blended cementitious matrix composition" are indefinite and also rejected under 35 USC 101. It is unclear if applicants are claiming a "package" which is an article or they are claiming a composition. Presently, it seems there are two statutory classes of invention in the independent claims such as claim 1, 2, 7, and 24.

The term "decorative aggregate" is vague. The applicants define it on page 9 of their specification to state the aggregate has an attractive or architectural surface versus conventional aggregate which allegedly merely adds strength. Yet, how does one distinguish which aggregate is necessarily decorative or not? It is possible that one

Application/Control Number: 10/643,854 Page 4

Art Unit: 1755

man's trash is another man's treasure and the same can be said for a particular aggregate. The applicants may consider deleting the term "decorative" but adding it as a dependent limitation such as—an aggregate which is used for a decorative purpose.

Also the terms "Type V hydraulic cement" should be "Type V Portland cement" because this is how it is labeled in the applicants' specification.

Finally, Kelly (US Patent No. 6,692,566 B2) has been cited of interest.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Marcantoni whose telephone number is 571-272-1373. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Paul Marcantoni Primary Examiner Art Unit 1755